

# Application of wedge cooling for muon based experiments

---

Diktys Stratakis

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

USPAS 2019, Knoxville, Tennessee  
January 24, 2019

# Outline

- Review of particle-matter physical processes for muons
- Review of the theoretical framework of ionization cooling
- Application of ionization cooling to the Fermilab Muon g-2 Experiment
- Design considerations:
  - Choice of location
  - Choice of material
  - Choice of length and angle
  - Choice of optics
- Simulated performance

# Particle-matter interactions

- Particles can interact with:
  - atoms/molecules
  - Atomic electrons
  - nucleus
- Leads to several interaction processes:
  - Ionization
  - Multiple scattering
  - Energy loss (Bremsstrahlung)
  - Hadronic showers

# Muons


- Carry the same electrical charge as electrons
- Like electrons and unlike protons, muons are elementary particles
  - Do not feel the strong interaction, meaning no hadronic showers
- Muons are ~200 times heavier than electrons
  - Are not affected by Bremsstrahlung at most energies
- As a result, muons can travel “untouched” for very long distances inside materials

# Ionization


- Momentum of muons is reduced as they ionize atomic electrons in the material
- Average energy loss is given by Bethe-Bloch formula

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = 4\pi N_A r_e^2 m_e c^2 \rho \frac{Z}{A} \left[ \frac{1}{\beta^2} \ln(K\gamma^2 \beta^2) - 1 - \frac{\delta}{2\beta^2} \right]$$

- Ionization term ( $dE/dx$ ):
  - Depends on material density
  - Does not depend on the mass of the incident particle
  - Minimum at  $\beta\gamma \approx 3$




# Energy straggling



- Due to the statistical nature of ionization energy loss, large fluctuations can occur in the amount of energy deposited by a particle traversing an absorber.
- Was first described by Landau (another Nobel prize winner). Straggling increases rapidly for materials with high electron density and very energetic beams.




# Multiple scattering



- Muon will be deflected due to Coulomb scattering from nuclei
- The angle has a roughly Gaussian distribution of width  $\theta_0$ :

$$\theta_0 = \frac{13.6 \text{ MeV}}{\beta c p} \sqrt{\frac{x}{L_R}} \left[ 1 + 0.038 \ln \left( \frac{x}{L_R} \right) \right]$$

# Ionization cooling formalism (1)



Cooling term

Multiple scattering term

$$\frac{d\varepsilon_T}{ds} = -\frac{1}{\beta^2 E} \frac{dE}{ds} \varepsilon_T + \frac{\beta\gamma\beta_T}{2} \frac{d\theta_0^2}{ds}$$

- Cooling is enhanced with good focusing & dense materials with high radiation length
- BUT we cool transverse only!



# Ionization cooling formalism (2)

• Longitudinal cooling:


$$\frac{d\sigma_E^2}{ds} = -2 \overset{\text{Cooling term}}{\frac{\partial}{\partial E} \left( \frac{dE}{ds} \right)} \sigma_E^2 + \overset{\text{Straggling term}}{\frac{d \langle \Delta E_{rms}^2 \rangle}{ds}}$$

• Cooling occurs only if derivative:

$$\frac{\partial \left( \frac{dE}{ds} \right)}{\partial E} > 0$$


• Ionization loss does not naturally provide adequate longitudinal cooling

• Can be enhanced, if it is arranged that high energy muons lose more energy than low energy ones.




# History of ionization cooling (1)

Requirement:  
Reduce 6D emittance  
by at least 5 orders  
of magnitude



- As with an  $e^+e^-$  collider, a  $\mu^+\mu^-$  collider would offer a precision probe of fundamental interactions



# History of ionization cooling (2)



- Restore the lost momentum in z with a longitudinal E-field
- A pillbox cavity is placed adjacent to the absorber

# First candidate – Guggenheim channel

Coils  
Cavities  
Absorber



# Community acceptance

PHYSICAL REVIEW SPECIAL TOPICS - ACCELERATORS AND BEAMS 16, 091001 (2013)



## Tapered channel for six-dimensional muon cooling towards micron-scale emittances

Diktys Stratakis, Richard C. Fernow, J. Scott Berg, and Robert B. Palmer  
*Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA*  
(Received 19 June 2013; published 23 September 2013)

A high-luminosity muon collider requires a significant reduction of the six-dimensional emittance prior to acceleration. Obtaining the desired final emittances requires transporting the muon beam through long sections of a beam channel containing rf cavities, absorbers, and focusing solenoids. Here we propose a new scheme to improve the performance of the channel, consequently increasing the number of transmitted muons and the lattice cooling efficiency. The key idea of our scheme is to tune progressively the main lattice parameters, such as the cell dimensions, rf frequency, and coil strengths, while always keeping the beam emittance significantly above the equilibrium value. We adopt this approach for a new cooling lattice design for a muon collider, and examine its performance numerically. We show that with tapering the cooling rate is not only higher than conventional designs, but also maintains its performance through the channel, resulting in a notable 6D emittance decrease by 3 orders of magnitude. We also review important lattice parameters, such as the required focusing fields, absorber length, cavity frequency, and voltage.

Distinguished as an  
“Editor’s suggestion” paper


PHYSICAL REVIEW ACCELERATORS AND BEAMS

Highlights Recent Accepted Special Editions Authors Referees Sponsors Search Press About

Kaleidoscopes: 2013

Browse more: 2013


Click on each thumbnail to see the full image and link to the paper. Please note that kaleidoscope selections are based on aesthetics and not necessarily the scientific merit of the paper.



Our figure was selected for kaleidoscope

# Muon ionization cooling experiment (1)

- Demonstration of ionization cooling at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, UK (US-UK sponsored).




# Muon ionization cooling experiment (2)

solenoid





201 MHz cavity



absorber





focus coil



- Experiment complete. Demonstrated transverse cooling  $\sim 6\%$

# Motivation for the Muon g-2 Experiment




- Statistical uncertainty of the measurement depends on muon intensity. Essential to place as many muons as possible into a stable orbit in the ring.
- The ring accepts only a fraction of the delivered muons



# Choice of **location** (1)


- For practicality, it is highly desirable to build the system without modifying the existing Muon Campus beamline
- Absorber is expected to trigger emittance growth & mismatches so it is preferred to place it downstream of both injection to DR & extraction from DR areas wherein the narrowest apertures exist.
- Pick the last horizontal bend string in the M5 line. There are two more advantages for this selection:
  - Beam is free of protons and the remaining muons are at low rates, hence energy deposition is at negligible levels
  - Considerable dispersion and relatively low beta functions (next slide)

# Choice of location (2)




ian Drendel  
5-16-14  
rdel@fnal.gov

# Choice of **location** (3)



Two alternative solutions for the beam optics:


- TDR (baseline) solution  $S_1$
- Modified solution  $S_2$  that has similar properties to  $S_1$  but much lower vertical beta function
- Dispersion is in the 0.65 - 0.75 m range



# Choice of **material**


- We can establish a merit factor  $Q$ , that takes into account the cooling term ( $dE/ds$ ) and scattering term ( $1/L_R$ ), i.e.

$$Q = L_R \times dE/ds$$




# Choice of **angle** and **length** (1)

Beryllium,  $Q=104.0$




Polyethylene,  $Q=93.1$




# Choice of **angle** and **length** (2)

Aluminum,  $Q=38.8$



Nickel,  $Q=18.6$




- Colors and performances are not same for the two plots!

# Choice of optics


Solution 1 ( $S_1$ ) – TDR

$\beta_y = 6.9$  m



Solution 2 ( $S_2$ ) - Carol

$\beta_y = 2.4$  m





- While a point with  $D > 1$  &  $\beta < 1$  is preferred, with the tools available (hardware, magnets) points (x) are currently possible
- Monte Carlo assumes perfect matching & injection and no straggling. More detailed simulation in the next slides

# Simulations


- Monte Carlo model provides a good first-order estimate. However, to further assess feasibility of the wedge system it must be studied under more realistic assumptions
- Use G4beamline, a Geant4 based code, that incorporates key particle-matter physical processes (energy loss, straggling, multiple scattering) as well as includes decays and spin precession
- All simulations start at ECMAG using a realistic beam distribution that is the outcome of an end-to-end simulation from the target




# Performance at the end of M5 (1)




# Performance at the of M5 (2)



# Performance at the of M5 (3)




# Storage ring performance



# Acceptance limits


- Assuming 27.2 kV operation for the quads, the peak beta functions in the ring are  $\beta_x = 8.0$  m and  $\beta_y = 18.0$  m
- The beam is constrained into a 45 mm aperture
- Therefore acceptance limits are  $A_x = 253 \mu\text{m}$  and  $A_y = 112 \mu\text{m}$
- This may explain the better performance for solution  $S_2$

# Influence in muon polarization




- The wedge has a negligible effect on polarization and therefore can be safely inserted along the beam path.

# Influence in time profile




# Influence in time profile





# Positron removal?



# Fabrication and installation progress

Polyethylene wedge




Boron Carbide wedge




New power supplies for downstream optical matching




Wedge housing




Wedge insertion actuator with submillimeter precision



Motion-control tests




Design of complete mechanical assembly




# Wedges in Muon Campus

M5, Wedge 1



M5, Wedge 2



DR Wedge



- Special thanks to Jim Morgan for monitoring the fabrication and installation process