## History, Hadron Accelerators, Detectors, \& Cross Sections

## Jerry Blazey Northern I Ilinois University



## History: A Steady Progression to Simplicity and Unification

- Antiquity:
- Democritus names the "atom"
- Earth, Air, Fire Water
- Descriptive - Not Predictive
- Eighteenth Century
- Antoine Lavoisier, 1798:

- Matter consists of chemical elements
- Conservation of Mass during chemical reactions
- J.L. Proust, 1799:

- Law of Definite Proportions, compounds always contain the same elements in the same proportions
- Nineteenth Century
- J ohn Dalton, 1803:

- Elements formed from small indivisible particles called atoms
- Identical for a given element but different for any other element.
- Chemical compounds formed by the combining definite number and types of atoms to make various molecular compounds
- Mendeleyev, 1869:
- Devises the Periodic Table.
- I mpressive!
- Suggests deeper structure



## - Twentieth Century

- J.J.Thomson, 1903
- Discovers the electron
- Rutherford, 1899-1903 Electron
- Discovers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ as elements of radioactive decay.
- Stark, 1909
- Identifies the photon as a genuine elementary particle
- Posses both momentum and energy
- Thompson, early 1900s

- One of the first models of the atom.
- "Raisin Pudding" - a positive blob with scattered negatively charged electrons
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## - Geiger, Marsden, Rutherford, 1909-1911

- Tests model with scattering experiment


Maximum deflection from
entire postive charge of gold atom distributed through whole atom $<0.02$

## Major Technique of Particle Physics

- Conservation of E and p predicts a heavy projectile should pass though on relatively straight trajectories
$\theta_{\text {Thomsen atom }}<\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}} \frac{4 \mathrm{eQ}}{\mathrm{RMv}^{2}}<0.02^{\circ}$ for gold


Aim alpha particle for grazing incidence where electric field is strongest. Calcula deflecton.
 $\theta<0.02^{\circ}$ for gold

Leads to the concept of Cross Section


- To everyone's surprise 1 in 8000 alpha particles scattered more than 90 degrees

A Positive Nucleus Reflects


- Very different than the prediction of raisin pudding and evidence for massive, positive nucleus.

This experiment nicely illustrates the work of particle physics. Scattering experiments serve as our eyes and ears in the sub-atomic world...

## - Back to the Twentieth Century

- Bohr, 1913
- Model of the atom inspired by Rutherford's work.
- Lawrence, 1920-1930s

- Develops the cyclotron
- Chadwick, 1932
- Discovers the neutron.


## Electrons, proton, neutrons elementary particles of the day
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- Discovers the positron
- Beginning of a "zoo" of particles
- Yukawa, 1935
- Proposes the pion or a "meson"
- Mediates the strong force that holds the nucleus together with a mass of $\mathbf{\sim 1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M e V}$
- During the 30's framework for the forces begins to take shape, gravity, electromagnetic, weak interaction for decays and strong...
- Discovery of the muon, 1937
- Correct mass for the meson
- But interacts weakly - great confusion!
- Lattes et al., 1947
- Discovery of the pion!
- Confirmation of Yukawa prediction


## Bonanza: The Accelerator Era

- Lawrence, 1949
- Construction of the 6 billon electron volt Bevatron
- Antiproton Discovered, 1955
- The Zoo Grows, 1950-1960s
- Enormous number of particles discovered with new machines, over 300 species
- The "dark ages" - the fundamental building
 blocks appear more numerous than the periodic table.
- Hadrons
- Baryons (neutrons, protons, ...) fermions with ½, 3/ 2 ... spin
- Mesons (pions, kaons, ...) bosons with integer spin
- Gellman and Zweig, 1964
- Propose hadrons are not elementary
- Rather composed of quarks.


## Quark Model

 cleaned up the mess of 100's of hadrons:- hadrons are composite with a spectroscopy built on quantized vibrational and rotational degrees of freedom:
$p=u u d ; n=u d d$
$\Delta=u u d ; \Lambda=u s d$
- fundamental entities are the (point-sized) quarks and (point-sized) leptons



## In retrospect, you could have guessed! More on Rutherford Scattering

- Scattering of alpha particles from nuclei can be modelled
- from the Coulomb force, $\mathbf{1 /} \mathbf{r}^{2}$
- treated as an orbit (path follows conic section).
- Scattering process can be treated statistically in terms of the cross-section or effective area for interaction with a nucleus which is considered to be a point charge Ze.
- For a detector at a specific angle with respect to the incident beam, the number of particles per unit area striking the detector is given by the Rutherford formula
$N_{i}=$ number of incident alpha particles
$n=$ atoms per unit volume in target
$L=$ thickness of target
$\left.N(\theta)=\frac{N_{i} n L Z^{2} k^{2} e^{4}}{4 r^{2} K E^{2} \sin ^{4}(\theta / 2)} \begin{array}{l}Z=\text { atomic number of target } \\ e \\ k=\text { electron charge } \\ \\ r\end{array}\right)$ target-to-detector distance

$K E=$ kinetic energy of alpha

$\theta=$ scattering angle
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## Rutherford Deviations $\rightarrow$ Something New?

- With higher alpha energies the projectile punches close to the nuclear center and comes into the range of the nuclear strong force
- The distribution of scattered alphas departs from the Rutherford formula.
- Departure point from the Rutherford scattering gives estimate of the nuclear radius, onset of new physics....
- Much better measurements attained w/ electron scattering, but Rutherford was able to show that the nucleus was more than $1 \mathbf{0}^{4}$ times smaller than previously thought!


- The quark, 1967
- First evidence in electron proton scattering at Stanford Linear Accelerator
- Completely analogous to Rutherford Scattering.
- Proton and neutron show internal structure

- Standard Model 60-80's



## The non-relativistic quark model

- Proton $=$ uud, antiproton $=\bar{u} \mathbf{u} \overline{\mathbf{d}}$
- Neutron = udd
- Charged Pions $=\overline{\mathbf{u d}}$, ud
- And so on...

1. Homework (using the charges given in the periodic chart of fundamental particles on Slide 5 of Lecture 1):

- What is the charge of the $\Omega$ particle, which has three strange quarks?
- $\quad$ The top quark can decay into a W and bottom quark. What is the charge of the W?
- Same for antitop into a W and an antibottom quark?
- A W- can decay into two second generation quarks, which ones?
- $\quad$ Same for the $\mathbf{W}^{+}$?


## Today: The Standard Model

The Standard Model


Higgs
boson

Fet to be conlimed

## All point-like (down to $10^{-18} \mathrm{~m}$ )

Families reflect increasing mass and a theoretical organization

$\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{d}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{e}$ are "normal matter"

## Rubbia et al., 1983 Discovery of the W

## Accelerators: The Basic Principles

- Particles are easy to obtain:
- Electrons by heating metals
- Protons by robbing hydrogen of its electron
- Accelerators speed up charged particles by creating large electric fields which attract or repel the particles
- This field is then moved down the accelerator, "pushing" the particles along.


Positively charged particles () close to the crest of the $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{M}$ wave experience the most force forward; those closer to the center experience less of a force. The result is that the particles tend to move together with the wave.

## The Colliding Beams Advantage

- Fixed Target Experiment : Experiments like Rutherford's may be performed when a beam of particles is made to collide with a stationary target
- Colliding-beam experiment: Two beams of high-energy particles can be made to cross each other.
- Both beams have significant kinetic energy, more energy available in center-of-mass of the collision compared to a single beam.
- Since we are dealing with particles with a lot of relative momentum, these particles have short wavelengths and make excellent probes.
- Currently dominating the world program.


For a 100 GeV beam
E fixed = 14 GeV
E collider $=200 \mathrm{GeV}$
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## Some Kinematics

- For high energy physics we set $c=1$.
- Definition of the four vector: $p=(E, p)$
- Addition of four vector: $p_{1}+p_{2}=\left(E_{1}+E_{2}, \vec{p}_{1}+\vec{p}_{2}\right)$
- Product of four vector: $p_{1} \cdot p_{2}=E_{1} E_{2}-\vec{p}_{1} \cdot \vec{p}_{2}$
- Mandalstam Variables: $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}$, are four vector quantities describing the kinematics of particle interactions
- $s$, which is the total energy available in a system, for a two particle system is $s^{2}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}$.
- $s$ is invariant and has the same value in all frames before and after collisions, decays...


## Homework Problems

2. Show that for a single particle $s^{2}$ is just equal to the mass of the particle squared and another form of the relativistic equation: $E^{2}=$ $\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{2}}+\mathrm{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$.
3. Using the definition of $s^{2}$, for the electromagnetic decay of a neutral pion ( $\pi \rightarrow$ $\gamma \gamma$ ) at rest (mass $\mathbf{=} \mathbf{0 . 1 3 5} \mathbf{G e V}$ ), what is the energy of the final state photons (mass $=0$ )

## Prove the Boxed Claim, Slide 17

4. Proton beam on fixed proton target:

- $p_{1}=\left(m_{1}, 0\right), p_{2}=\left(E_{2}, p_{2}\right)$
$-\quad s^{2}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}=\left(m_{1}+E_{2}, \overrightarrow{0+} p_{2}\right)=\left(m_{1}+E_{2}\right)^{2} \overrightarrow{-} p_{2}^{2}$
- But $m=m_{1}=m_{2}$ and $E>m$, so $s^{2}=2 m E_{\text {beam }}$
- Energy available is roughly ( $\left.2 \mathbf{G e V} * \mathrm{E}_{\text {beam }}\right)^{1 / 2}$

5. Colliding proton beams:
$-p_{1}=\left(E_{1}, p_{1}\right), p_{2}=\left(E_{2}, p_{2}\right)$

- $s^{2}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}=\left(E_{1}+E_{2}, \overrightarrow{p_{1}}+p_{2}\right)^{2}$
- But $m=m_{1}=m_{2}$ and $E \gg m^{\prime}$ so s ${ }^{2}=4 E^{2}$
- Energy available is $\mathbf{2} \mathrm{E}_{\text {beam }}$


## Major Worldwide Labs



7 laboratories in the world dedicated to HEP

- US: SLAC( $\left.\mathbf{e}^{+} \mathbf{e}^{-}\right)$, CESR( $\left.\mathbf{e}^{+} \mathbf{e}^{-}\right)$, FERMI LAB(pp \& assorted)
- Europe: HERA(ep); CERN: LEP finished $\left(\mathbf{e}^{+} \mathbf{e}^{-}\right), \operatorname{LHC}_{2007}(p p)$
- Asia: BEPC( $\left.\mathbf{e}^{+} \mathbf{e}^{-}\right)$, KEK( $\left.\mathbf{e}^{+} \mathbf{e}^{-}\right)$
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## Complementary Approaches




- Location: CERN, Geneva Switzerland
- $\mathbf{e}^{+} \mathbf{e}^{-}$machine
- $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{e}+}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{e}}$
- Maximum center-of-mass Energy = 209 GeV
- Circumference of machine $=\mathbf{2 6 . 7} \mathbf{k m}$
- Program Ended.


## HERA


? Location: DESY, Hamburg Germany
? e-p machine
? $P_{\mathrm{e}}=27.5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ $P_{p}=920 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$
? Center-of-mass Energy = 318 GeV
? Circumference of machine $=6.3 \mathrm{~km}$
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## Fermilab: Proton-Antiproton Collider



## Cockcroft-Walton



H- to 0.75 MeV

## The Alvarez Linac



Jerry Blazey J anuary 2005 Vietnam

## Proton Synchrotrons

- Six on site:
- Booster, Debuncher, Accumulator, Recycler, Main I njector, TeVatron
- Two Main Components
- Magnetic Fields
- Guide and Focus Beam
- Curvature Constant so Field Changes with Time
- $\mathbf{R}$ (in meters) $=$



## Radio Freq. Cavities and Phase Stability

- Magnetic strength and radiofrequency must be synchronized for stability (Veksler \& McMillan Nobel)
- Each revolution the cavity boosts the particles in a bunch
- Early or energetic
 particles (red) get a smaller boost than lower energy particles.
- Eventually the coalesce into an RF Bucket.


Time
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## Booster and Main I njector



Proton 8 GeV


P/ Pbar 150 GeV

## Antiproton Source

- Three Components
- Target
- Debuncher
- removes RF structure of proton beam
- in favor of narrow energy spread
- Accumulator
- Stores antiprotons
- Uses RF and stochastic cooling to
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## Accumulator and Tevatron



Stores Antiprotons

p/ pbar 980 GeV
Super Conducting 2 TeV Collisions ${ }_{\text {jery }}{ }^{\text {Blazey }}$ J anuary 2005 Vietnam

## Detectors: Basic Ideas

- Charged particles (leptons, mesons, hadrons) ionize detecting medium.
- Charged Particle Tracking:
- The ionization (in many forms) can be amplified and detected.
- The passage of single particles can be detected at many points to measure a trajectory.
- The bend of the trajectory in a magnetic field provides momentum measurement.
- Calorimetry:
- A particle, charged or neutral, will interact with dense medium to create a shower of charged particles.
- The shower energy can be sampled as a measure of the particle energy
- The shape of the shower provides information on direction and particle type.
- Other techniques are available but these are the most prevalent.


## Tracking in Silicon



- Silicon is etched in narrow strips ~40 microns.
- With the Si held at voltage, ionizing radiation can be detected and amplified
- Typical Detectors have 1M channels
- Barrel/ Disk structure ensures good coverage


## Tracking in Gaseous Detectors

- When a charged particle traverses a gas-filled chamber, it ionizes the gas atoms along its path.
- Thin wires inside the chamber at high voltage attracts the charge
- The signals caused by gas ions attracted to the wires can be amplified and recorded.
- The data reveal the arrival time of a particle as well as its track.
- In 1992, Georges Charpak received the Nobel Prize for the invention of the wire chamber.
- Many varieties, proportional and drift...



## Tracking in Scintillator

- Scintillator emits light with passage of ionizing radiation
- Can be shaped as small fibers with good 1mm resolution
- Or as panels for wide are coverage.
- The light is converted to electrical signals with phototubes or solid state detectors



## Calorimetry

- Induce a shower of interactions between incident particle and denser material
- Collect ionization as an energy measurement of charged and neutral particles.
- In a collider primarily electrons, photons, and pions. To a lesser extend protons, kaons, neutrons...
- To measure energy and position a segmented calorimeter surrounds tracking



## Sampling calorimeters

- For reasons of cost and compactness, typically measure only a fixed fraction of the ionization, the "sampling fraction"

- Alternate dense absorber with sensitive medium
- Absorber can be
- lead, uranium (for maximum density), steel, copper, iron (for magnetic field), tungsten
- Sensitive layers can be
- Wire chambers, silicon, scintillator, liquid argon
- Choices depend on cost, space, resolution desired.


## Scintillator calorimeters

## Cheap, straightforward to build, but suffer from radiation damage
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## Liquid Argon

- Stable, linear, radiation hard
- BUT operates at 80K: cryostat and $\mathbf{L N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ cooling required

e.g. H1, SLD, DØ, ATLAS

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { DØ } \\
\text { North endcap } \\
\text { liquid argon } \\
\text { cryostat vessel }
\end{gathered}
$$



ATLAS "accordion" EM calorimeter
Jerry Blazey J anuary 2005 Vietnam

## Combining Detectors for Particle ID



Jerry Blazey J anuary 2005 Vietnam

## A Return to Rutherford Scattering $\rightarrow$ Cross Sections

Scattering from Coulomb field Treated as hyperbolic orbit.


$$
b=\frac{k q_{1} q_{2}}{m v^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1+\cos \theta}{1-\cos \theta}} \quad r_{\min }=\frac{b \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}{1-\sin \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} \quad r_{\min }=\frac{Z k e^{2}}{\mathrm{KE}}
$$

b is characteristic size of nucleus.

- The concept of cross section, as its name suggests, is that of effective area for collision.
- For a spherical target:

$$
\sigma=\pi \boldsymbol{r}^{2}
$$

- The cross section for scattering off something smaller than the impact parameter $b=r$, or equivalently, at greater angle than $\theta$ is:

$$
\sigma=\pi Z^{2}\left(\frac{k e^{2}}{K E}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1+\cos \theta}{1-\cos \theta}\right)
$$

- The scattering cross section depends on the strength of the force involved (e.g. $\mathrm{ke}^{2}$ ) and the energy scale involved (KE of alpha). This will be true even for particle interactions.
- Since the cross section gives us an effective area for a target, we can determine a scattering rate for a beam of particles as follows:

- In aiming a beam of particles at a target which is much smaller than the beam, as in the Rutherford scattering experiment, the cross section takes on a statistical nature.



## Cross Sections at a Proton Antiproton Collider

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\mathbf{d N}}{\mathbf{d} \mathbf{t}}=\sigma \frac{\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{b}}^{*} \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} * \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{pbar}}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}} \\
\mathbf{N}=\sigma \int \mathrm{L} \mathbf{d t} \\
\mathbf{N}=\sigma \mathbf{L}
\end{gathered}
$$

Reminder: $\mathbf{1 p b} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{1}}$ of integrated luminosity means 1 event will be produced for a process of 1 pb cross section.
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## Our Lecture Series

$\checkmark$ Overview
$\checkmark$ History, Accelerators, Detectors, \& Cross Sections

- QCD Electroweak Physics
- Searches for New Physics
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