Analog vs. Digital Hadron Calorimetry for the ILC

Dhiman Chakraborty
for the NIU/NICADD ILC detector group



NORTHERN ILLINOIS
UNIVERSITY

International Linear Collider
Large Detector Meeting
LLR, Paris, January 13-15, 2005

Introduction

- We consider a hadronic calorimeter with a few thresholds (1–3).
- Compare gas and scintillator as live media:
  - Single particle energy resolution,
  - Shower width,
  - Clustering.

Geometries Considered

Scint HCal



Gas Geom1

Gas 5mm



Gas Geom2

Glass 1mm

Gas 1mm

Number of cells hit by π^+s of 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 50 GeV



π^+ energy resolution as function of energy for different (linear) cell sizes



Energy resolution for 10 GeV π^+s



$\sigma/E=0.183$



$9cm^2$ cells

$\sigma/N=0.166$

Energy resolution for 50 GeV π^+s



$\sigma/E=0.101$



$9cm^2$ cells

$\sigma/N=0.153$

Nhit correlations for different cell energy thresholds





Nhit correlations for different cell energy thresholds





Nhit correlations for different cell energy thresholds





Alternatively,





Compensation

- Cell counting has its own version of the compensation problem (in scintillators).
- With multiple threshold this can be overcome by weighting cells differently (according to the threshold they passed).
- In MC, 3 thresholds seem to be adequate

After semi-digital treatment





Energy resolution: 50 GeV π^+s





Energy resolution: 10 GeV π^+s



$\sigma/E=0.183$



$\sigma/N=0.164$

π^+ energy resolution vs. energy



Time of flight



ToF dependence



Cross-talk

(10% of cell E leaks equally to 4 neighbors)



Nhit vs. fraction of π^+ E in cells with E>10 MIP: 1cm x 1cm scintillator cells



Nhit vs. fraction of π^+ E in cells with E>10 MIP: Gas vs. scintillator





π^+ energy resolution vs. energy

Multiple thresholds not used



Non-linearity

- Nhit/GeV varies with energy.
- This will introduce additional pressure on the “constant” term.
- For scintillator the non-linearity can be effectively removed by “semi-digital” treatment.

Density of hits

- Need a hierarchy in the absence of an energy measurement
- Local density of hits is an obvious candidate.
- A simple-minded density variable:

$$d_i = \Sigma\ (1/R_{ij}),$$

where R_{ij} is the angular distance between cells i & j.

Position resolution



Density vs. Energy



Width

- Find centroid $\{\Sigma w_i x_i / \Sigma w_i\}$
- 'width' = $\mathrm{sqrt}(\Sigma w_i R^2_i / \Sigma w_i)$
- Three weights were used:
  - Unweighted ($w_i = 1$)
  - Energy weighted ($w_i = E_i$)
  - Density weighted (w_i = nearest-neighbor occupancy in a 5x5 window in lyrs k-1,k,k+1)

Distance to farthest cell





Density of farthest cell





Distance to farthest cell





Density of farthest cell





Backscatter



Shower width for 10GeV $\pi^{\pm}$



Shower width for 50GeV $\pi^{\pm}$



$\pi^{\pm}$ angular width

rms shown as bars



$\pi^{\pm}$ angular width: energy weighted



$\pi^{\pm}$ angular width: density weighted



Comments

- There is no clear cut case either way at the moment; detailed studies of assessing impact needed.
- Will look at cluster separability next.
- Need to evaluate this in the global context of calorimeter performance.

Clustering

- Clustering based on local density works well.
- It is an alternative to track-seeded clustering.
- Can be used in the ECal and HCal.
- Full PFlow implementation gives encouraging results.

10 GeV $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

Density weighted θ–ϕ



$\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\pi^0 \rightarrow p\gamma\gamma$

Density weighted θ–ϕ



Summary

- Large parameter space in the nbit-segmentation-medium plane for hadron calorimetry. Optimization through cost-benefit analysis?
- Scintillator and Gas-based 'digital' HCals behave differently.
- Need to simulate detector effects (noise, x-talk, non-linearities, etc.)
- Need verification in test-beam data.
- More studies underway.