# Beam analysis for secondary beams (Part I)

#### Diktys Stratakis Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

PHYS 790D, NIU, DeKalb, IL (Fall 2019) October 22, 2019

### Outline

- Secondary beams and their applications
- Production of secondary beams
- Fermilab Muon Campus: a testbed for secondary beams
- Challenges on lattice design with secondaries:
  - Particle-matter interactions
  - Particle contamination and cleaning
  - Particle decay
  - Polarization tracking
- Introduction to G4beamline
- Examples of using G4beamline for modeling secondaries

#### g-factor or gyromagnetic ratio





Magnetic moment ( $\mu$ ) of a classical muon (mass m<sub>µ</sub>) with charge *e* and angular momentum *L*:

Magnetic moment of a muon with intrinsic spin angular momentum *S*:

$$\vec{\iota} = -\frac{e}{2m_{\mu}}\vec{L}$$



- g-factor dictates the relationship between momentum & spin
- Its exact value is still an open question

#### A hint of new physics?

- Standard model: g<sub>theory</sub> = 2.00 233 183 630 (99)
- Last measured : g<sub>meas</sub> = 2.00 233 184 178 (126)
- What other physics must be added to make g<sub>theory</sub>=g<sub>meas</sub>?



#### The Fermilab Muon g-2 Experiment (1)



#### The Fermilab Muon g-2 Experiment (2)



#### The Fermilab Mu2e experiment (1)

- Muons are stopped in a AI target and captured into an atomic orbital state of an AI nucleus. Most likely processes:
  - Decay in orbit:

$$\mu^{-} \longrightarrow e^{-} \overline{\nu}_{e} \nu_{\mu}$$

$$L_{e} 0 = 1 -1 0$$

$$L_{\mu} 1 = 0 0 1$$

Aluminum Nucleus µ-

- Muon capture:
- $\mu^- N(A,Z) \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} N(A,Z-1)$

#### The Fermilab Mu2e experiment (2)

- Mu2e will for look for a neutrinoless muon to electron conversion:
  - $\mu^{-} N(A,Z) \rightarrow e^{-} N(A,Z)$
- $\begin{array}{c} L_{e} \\ L_{\mu} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \neq \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \neq \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \end{array}$



 The Mu2e experiment endeavors to detect Charged Lepton Flavor Violation

#### The Fermilab Mu2e experiment (3)



0

#### Towards a Muon Collider (far future)



 As with an e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collider, a μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup> collider would offer a precision probe of fundamental interactions

#### Why muons?

- Carry the same electrical charge as electrons
- Like electrons, muons are elementary particles and thus can produce "clean collisions"
- Muons are ~200 times heavier than electrons making it more sensitive to the discovery of new physics
- The large muon mass suppresses synchrotron radiation and thus can be accelerated in circular channels at much higher energy than electrons

# **Muon production**

- Atmospheric muon beam
  - High energy protons strike atmosphere
  - Pions and kaons are produced
  - Pions decay before they interact
  - Muons are born
  - Arrive at sea level with a flux of ~ 1 muon per square cm per minute
- Unfortunately, these muons are not enough for executing the aforementioned experiments



# Creating a "human-made" muon beam

- High intensity muon beams are possible using <u>high-energy</u> <u>accelerators</u>
- Major production components:
  - Proton beam transport & tight focusing area
  - Pion production target
  - Focusing and energy selection system
  - Decay and muon transport region



#### **Fermilab Muon Campus accelerator**



# Secondary beam production scheme



# Quadrupole triplet for tight focusing





16

# Final focus with a quadrupole triplet



- Combination of equal D and F quads leads to net focusing
- BUT focusing is different in x and y directions

- A quad triplet focuses equally in both directions and thus focus to a point
- Allows stronger focusing
- Ideal for small spot sizes

# **Target considerations**

- Production target should produce high yield of pions and muons
- Pion production rates are approximately independent of atomic number, although production of other particles (neutrons, gammas) increases with Z. Low Z materials minimize scattering
- Particle interactions should generate little heat and targets should dissipate heat easily
- Monolithic targets are not necessarily the best design surface to volume ratio needs to be maximized
- For g-2, we rely on a Inconel 600 based target:

material Inconel600 Ni,0.72 Cr,0.15835 Fe,0.10 Mn,0.01 Cu,0.005 Si,0.005 C,0.0015 S,0.00015 density=8.47

# Muon g-2 Target





- Target has an outer Be cover to prevent target material from being sputtered onto nearby elements
- Is rotated one turn per 45 s & is moved vertically by 1 mm after each 2x10<sup>16</sup> protons to spread the depletion uniformly

#### **Beam distribution**



- Beam distribution out of the target has an enormous energy spread and occupies a large phase-space distribution
- Strong focusing is necessary

# Lithium lens





- The lens is a short (16 cm) cylindrical column of lithium metal with a constant current density around its axis giving an azimuthal B-field which focuses particles transversely (both planes)
  - Lithium is chosen due to its large interaction length.

### **Considerations for muon capture**

- Muons are coming from pion decay. The lifetime of a pions is 26 ns in their on frame. Therefore, <u>a considerable long</u> muon capture line is necessary
- MC capture lines are 280 m long. Exponential decay law predicts:

$$N = N_0 e^{-(t_{M_2M_3})/\gamma \tau_{\pi}} = 0.3N_0 \rightarrow 70\%$$
 of  $\pi^+$  decay

- Daughter muons have equal or lower momentum and even larger momentum spread. They do not come from a single spot.
- The muon capture channel requires a high density of magnets so that to properly focus and transport the beam

# Muon capture & transport line optics

- The capture and transport of secondary beam is done with magnets
- Mainly two types of magnets: bending magnets (dipoles) and focusing magnets (quadrupoles)



## **Dipole magnets**

Recall that the Lorentz force on a particle:

$$F = ma = e(E + v \times B) = \frac{mu^2}{r}$$

 In the absence of an E-field and assuming that B and v are perpendicular:

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{eB}{p}$$

In an accelerator, dipoles are used to bend the beam trajectory. By using the appropriate field, one can tune the system so that particles of certain momentum can transported only



# **Target station dipole**



25

# Quadrupole magnets

- Quad magnet has four poles and imparts a force proportional to distance from center
- Magnetic Field:

$$B_x = -Gy$$
 and  $B_y = Gx$ 

• Magnetic Force:

$$F_x = -qvGx$$
 and  $F_y = qvGy$ 

- Focus in one plane, defocus in the other
- Accelerators consist of a sequence of identical "FODO" cells which combine a focusing & defocusing quad, separated by a drift



# Focusing Defocusing (FODO) lines



 The beam is matched if after every period the Twiss parameters are identical

# **Muon Campus beam lines**

#### Muon campus M3 line



Quadrupole magnet

#### Muon campus Delivery Ring



Dipole magnet

#### **Muon Campus quad magnets**



 Most Muon Campus quads have special vacuum chambers that conform to the poles in order to extend the aperture and therefore maximize capture

# Layout of the Muon Capture line



# Muon capture & transport line (M2)



## Muon capture & transport line (M3)



# Simulation challenge #1

- Generation and transport of secondary beams involves particle-matter interactions
  - A code that can handle physical processes such as straggling, scattering and ionization is necessary
- Perfect examples are the target and the Li-lens

# Simulation challenge #2

- Beam is contaminated with several species
  - Pions, muons, kaons, positrons
- Some are not stable particles and their evolution over distance needs to be estimated



# Challenge #3

- Beamline is composed into several magnetic elements to properly focus the beam
  - Aperture restrictions
- Most Muon Campus quads have special vacuum chambers that conform to the poles in order to extend the aperture and therefore maximize capture



# Challenge #4

- Energies of newborn muons is not the same
- In the pion rest frame:

$$p^* = \frac{m_{\pi}^2 - m_{\mu}^2}{2m_{\pi}} = 30 \text{ MeV/c}$$
$$E^* = \frac{m_{\pi}^2 + m_{\mu}^2}{2m_{\pi}} = 110 \text{ MeV}$$

Boost to laboratory frame:

$$E_{\mu} = \gamma_{\pi}(E^* + \beta_{\pi}p^*cos\theta^*)$$

- Limiting cases:
  - $cos\theta = +1 \rightarrow E_{max} = 1.00 \times E_{\pi}$  (forward decays)
  - $cos\theta = -1 \rightarrow E_{max} = 0.57 \times E_{\pi}$  (backward decays)

 $\mu$  (p\*, E\*)

L

#### Muons at the end of M3



Distribution of µ<sup>+</sup> has a long low-momentum tail

#### Challenge #5



- Muons from pion decay are naturally polarized. Their polarization is highly depended on the momentum ratio between the new born muon and its parent pion,  $x = p_{\mu}/p_{\pi}$
- Transverse polarization is given by:

 $P_T = \frac{2b}{x(1-b^2)} [(1-x)(x-b^2)]^{1/2}, \qquad b = m_\mu/m_\pi$ 

• Longitudinal polarization is given by:  $P_L = \frac{x(1+b^2)-2b^2}{x(1-b^2)}$ 

# Polarization in the Muon Campus (1)

- The rms momentum spread of the Muon Campus is ~2%
- Muons from forward decays are surviving and the muon polarization is expected to be >90%



39

# Quick guide to G4beamline (1)

- G4beamline is a particle-tracking simulation program based on the Geant4 toolkit [http://geant4.cern.ch].
- All of the Geant4 physics lists are available, modeling most of what is known about particle interactions with matter.
- The program is optimized to model and evaluate the performance of beam lines.
  - It has a rich range of beam-line elements.
  - It has general-purpose geometrical solids and fields so you can construct custom elements (e.g. an electrostatic septum, multifunction magnets, complex absorbers).
  - It lets you easily lay out elements along the beam centerline

# Quick guide to G4beamline (2)

- The basic idea is to define each beamline element, and then place each one into the beamline at the appropriate place.
- All aspects of the simulation are specified in a single ASCII:
  - Geometry
  - Input Beam
  - Physics processes
  - Program control parameters
  - Generation of output NTuples
- The input file consists of a sequence of commands with named arguments. Each command has its own list of arguments
- Command and argument names are spelled out, so the input file becomes a record of the simulation that is readable by others

# Quick guide to G4beamline (3)

 The system is described in a simple ASCII file:

# example1.in physics QGSP\_BERT beam gaussian particle=mu+ nEvents=1000 \ meanMomentum=200 \ sigmaX=10.0 sigmaY=10.0 \ sigmaXp=0.100 sigmaYp=0.100 # BeamVis just shows where the beam starts box BeamVis width=100.0 height=100.0 \ length=0.1 material=Vacuum color=1,0,0 place BeamVis z=0 virtualdetector Det radius=1000.0 color=0,1,0 place Det z=1000.0 rename=Det1 place Det z=2000.0 rename=Det2 place Det z=3000.0 rename=Det3 place Det z=4000.0 rename=Det4

- Visualization is included out-of-the-box
- Includes a user-friendly histogram tool: HistoRoot.





#### Visualizing the system

Un-checking the Visualization box will run the simulation and write the NTuple files; checking it will run the simulation and viewer without writing NTuple files. Each image in the viewer is a single run with the selected number of events.

#### Quadrupole magnet

 Unlike MAD, G4beamline includes not only the "focusing" effects of a magnet but also the aperture effect.



#### **Dipole magnet**

 G4beamline includes not only the "bending" effects of a magnet but also the aperture effect.



#### **Expected performance**

 Secondary beam consist of protons, pions, muons, positrons and deuterons



#### Phase-space analysis: $\pi$ vs $\mu$

- $\mu^+$  have larger transverse momentum (compared to  $\pi^+$ )
- As a result, muons are lost in apertures between H726 & H729 bends



#### Interaction with materials

 G4beamline includes key physical processes such as ionization, scattering and straggling.



# FODO Example (MAD view)

• MAD shows you the elements and exports Twiss functions



# FODO Example (G4beamline view)

- Unlike MAD, G4beamline includes apertures and 3D graphical interfaces
- Does not export Twiss parameters
- You need to "collect the data" and post-process it by your own.



# FODO Example (G4beamline view)

The trick is to add several Virtual Detectors along the line



#### Example of an input file

#BLTrackFile ... user comment...

#x y z Px Py Pz t PDGid EventID TrackID ParentID Weight

6.802637 -16.598810 0.000000 -6.471170 -9.628622 3084.618282 0.000000 -13 1 1 0 1 -8.731608 -0.329091 0.000000 17.371093 -12.317586 3098.052692 0.000000 -13 2 1 0 1 11.357872 -2.882008 0.000000 -22.424179 1.214885 3080.485061 0.000000 -13 3 1 0 1 6.282338 -6.525666 0.000000 -10.776342 -8.769307 3086.600291 0.000000 -13 4 1 0 1 13.731559 1.035823 0.000000 -25.447105 -10.417208 3096.875303 0.000000 -13 5 1 0 1 -1.340019 1.210609 0.000000 3.728586 11.652227 3105.110773 0.000000 -13 6 1 0 1 2.671119 -1.791614 0.000000 -1.887064 4.404255 3095.704934 0.000000 -13 7 1 0 1 8.360061 -14.253557 0.000000 -21.172346 -10.712157 3105.979796 0.000000 -13 8 1 0 1 2.699270 0.007972 0.000000 -3.382148 -5.192966 3096.813927 0.000000 -13 9 1 0 1 3.976922 0.535405 0.000000 -9.186198 -20.737834 3089.972247 0.000000 -13 10 1 0 1 -12.089317 -3.530778 0.000000 32.293101 4.218068 3092.320501 0.000000 -13 11 1 0 1 -7.213055 -8.445001 0.000000 22.523822 -16.328386 3097.391753 0.000000 -13 12 1 0 1 -12.255426 1.705230 0.000000 22.314921 -1.962983 3077.894842 0.000000 -13 13 1 0 1 7.515872 7.180219 0.000000 0.216456 14.110747 3099.286286 0.000000 -13 14 1 0 1 -2.761276 17.632681 0.000000 10.530404 43.791920 3112.657264 0.000000 -13 15 1 0 1 3.683348 -12.039462 0.000000 -19.962415 -21.619136 3090.065385 0.000000 -13 16 1 0 1 -5.481315 -7.371757 0.000000 0.415553 -26.012904 3086.168634 0.000000 -13 17 1 0 1 -7.878201 -1.261328 0.000000 16.559805 -1.810486 3098.842656 0.000000 -13 18 1 0 1 -12.119890 -7.652256 0.000000 31.626997 -2.644823 3103.336974 0.000000 -13 19 1 0 1-1.927845 -5.629353 0.000000 14.294566 -12.263660 3099.008430 0.000000 -13 20 1 0 1 12.522456 3.217174 0.000000 -5.083759 18.604711 3083.352416 0.000000 -13 21 1 0 1 -10.904257 0.336843 0.000000 29.559633 9.167577 3092.676692 0.000000 -13 22 1 0 1 -4.097192 9.127308 0.000000 10.982384 -2.163567 3086.365990 0.000000 -13 23 1 0 1 8.793803 -2.375145 0.000000 -11.081205 -2.620028 3093.044541 0.000000 -13 24 1 0 1 -11.662832 8.796357 0.000000 0.354087 25.917972 3085.448127 0.000000 -13 25 1 0 1 -11.655065 -5.053066 0.000000 26.668211 -8.937786 3093.245065 0.000000 -13 26 1 0 1 2.146562 5.337979 0.000000 -21.439311 9.052843 3095.233273 0.000000 -13 27 1 0 1 3.178387 -12.388542 0.000000 -1.722679 -17.182395 3086.877183 0.000000 -13 28 1 0 1 -14.760259 -8.207974 0.000000 23.605049 -21.167073 3083.212163 0.000000 -13 29 1 0 1 5.521583 3.018658 0.000000 -27.935240 -9.018114 3089.886228 0.000000 -13 30 1 0 1 4.403696 6.439173 0.000000 -3.617263 -13.140424 3082.477198 0.000000 -13 31 1 0 1 -10.261837 5.006774 0.000000 25.921391 6.358151 3075.392099 0.000000 -13 32 1 0 1 3.579964 12.789446 0.000000 -1.505302 24.330217 3107.621438 0.000000 -13 33 1 0 1 0.190570 11.090831 0.000000 9.001475 20.533660 3090.669915 0.000000 -13 34 1 0 1 -0.442682 -8.517654 0.000000 8.362777 -14.946446 3096.318528 0.000000 -13 35 1 0 1 11.947408 -1.558275 0.000000 -14.713339 3.018041 3094.007031 0.000000 -13 36



- M2 & M3 lines will carry the secondary beam from the target (T) to the delivery ring (DR)
- Loop four times until µ<sup>+</sup> yield peaks and all p are removed

### Model for the M2-M3 beamlines



### **Target station**

- Target station consists of five devices: production target, lithium lens, collimator, pulsed selection magnet & dump
- Muons are produced indirectly:  $p \rightarrow \pi^+ (26 \text{ ns}) \rightarrow \mu^+ (2 \mu \text{s})$



# **Target model for g-2**



# **Lithium Lens**

- Lithium rod with beryllium vacuum windows and titanium casing
- 116 kA current through lithium generates focusing magnetic field



# Modeling the B-field of lens



I=116000.0 A, R=10 mm (radius of lithium lens)

Within the 160 mm length, the following expressions were used:  $B_z=0$ ,  $B_r=0$ , and  $B_{\phi}=$ See above (either inside or outside)

# **Lithium Lens**

- 0.53 T vertical field bends particle paths
- Particles with momentum around 3.1 GeV/c continue to next part of the beamline
- Unbent leftover protons sent to beam dump



# Beam simulation through target (1)







π+ Momentum after PMag



# Beam simulation through target (2)



π+ Momentum vs. angle with z axis after collimator



π+ Momentum vs. angle with z axis after lens



π+ Momentum vs. angle with z axis after PMag

